

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,879	06/29/2001		Paul Glatkowski	38572.0024	4705
25227	7590	02/09/2005		EXAMINER	
MORRISON 1650 TYSON			WYROZEBSKI LEI	E, KATARZYNA I	
SUITE 300	VS BOOLE V	THE STATE OF THE S		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, V	MCLEAN, VA 22102				

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/894,879	GLATKOWSKI ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 f	November 2004.						
· · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	,—							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 23-49 and 52-103 is/are pending in t	the application.						
,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>55-75</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[7]	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>23-49,52-54 and 76-103</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) <u>23-49 and 52-103</u> are subject to rest	triction and/or election requireme	ent.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
· · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		- Fyaminer					
٠-,۵	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• , ,	` •					
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application	ation No					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not recei	ved.					
			•					
Attachmen	nt(s)	_						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔀 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	ny (PTO-413)					
3) 🔯 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/13/2003</u> .		Date. (220). I Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/894,879 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

In view of applicant's amendment and response dated 11/16/2004, following final office action is necessitated. The examiner deeply regrets that this application cannot be allowed. The examiner apologizes for any delay in the prosecution of this application. Claims 55-75 are still considered withdrawn. Claims 23-49, 52-54 and 76-103 are pending. The rejections of record over the prior art of SMALLEY are incorporated here by reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 23-49, 52-54, 76-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by SMALLEY (US 6,683,783).

The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art of SMALLEY from paragraph 4 of the office action mailed on 5/18/2004 is incorporated here by reference.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,879 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

3. In the response dated 11/16/2004 the applicants argued following:

a) The applicants have found no disclosure in the prior art of SMALLEY, which indicates that

the carbon nanotubes are aligned in parallel.

With respect to the above argument, the applicants are directed to the following parts of

the SMALLEY disclosure: col. 3, lines 30-32, which indicates that carbon nanotubes when

subjected to the electric field align and orient themselves in direction perpendicular to the field.

Col. 21, lines 35-37 discloses SWNT aggregating in substantially parallel orientation. In col. 29,

SMALLEY discloses in making arrays of CNTs.

b) The allegation that sonar domes may require EMI shielding does not anticipate applicant's

claimed invention.

With respect to the above argument, the examiner would like to point the applicant to col.

18, lines 45-47, which indicates clearly that the carbon nanotubes of SMALLEY are utilized in

RF shielding applications. RF is part of the range of electromagnetic radiation.

c) The applicants are not clear what conclusion the examiner is drawing regarding extrusion and

injection molding:

Simply that the extrusion in SMALLEY would have the same effect as the extrusion in

claim, for example, 41 of the present invention as it imparts the same type of shearing force.

d) The properties of the present invention are not inherent in the prior art of SMALLEY.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,879 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

With respect to the above argument, the prior art of SMALLEY discloses all the points raised by the applicants in arguments a-c.

e) Because composite contains carbon nanotubes, it does not necessarily mean that the composite will posses property of electromagnetic shielding.

Kindly see response to argument b).

- 4. Applicant's claims disclose composite comprising nanotubes having orientation and alignment. The orientation and alignment in the claims refers to the carbon nanotubes and not to the composite, which further means that there is no structural meaning to the word "composite". The prior art of SMALLEY teaches carbon nanotubes either alone by themselves, with polymers or protruding from some other surface. The prior art of SMALLEY even discloses rendering carbon nanotubes alive (i.e., chemical functionalization) in order to be able to react the ends together and therefore form one long nanotube. Even carbon nanotubes alone, satisfy the definition of composite as described in any dictionary. Composites in prior art of SMALLEY are further discussed in col. 29, lines 31-35.
- 5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 1714

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Katarzyna Wyrozeliski

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714